The Future of the Oceans
working paper #2
November 2025

By 2050, the world's oceans have ceased to be the planet's final commons. Once symbols of mystery, movement, and freedom, they have become the most tightly governed and surveilled spaces on Earth. The collapse of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea was not a sudden event but a slow erosion. Years of unilateral territorial extensions, deep-sea mining claims, and the rise of floating city-states undermined the idea that any part of the ocean could belong to "all humankind." When the final attempt to reaffirm international jurisdiction failed, the seas were formally partitioned. In their wake emerged a new maritime order—one defined by proprietary borders, corporate charters, and militarized exclusion zones.

Climate change, long discussed in policy documents and conferences, had by mid-century rewritten the geography of civilization. Rising seas consumed low-lying nations—Kiribati, the Maldives, and much of Bangladesh vanished beneath the waves—displacing hundreds of millions. Coastal megacities like New York, Jakarta, and Lagos became semi-aquatic, their skylines reflected in swollen bays. Ocean temperatures rose nearly two degrees Celsius above preindustrial levels, destabilizing marine ecosystems and accelerating the death of coral reefs. Acidification rendered large portions of the Pacific and Indian Oceans biologically sterile, while the retreat of polar ice opened the Arctic Passage, a new theater for geopolitical rivalry. In this climate-shocked world, control of the sea was not just about resources—it was about survival.

The end of "international waters" came through a cascade of treaties and confrontations in the 2030s and 2040s. The 2041 Treaty of Maritime Delimitation finalized what had already become practice: oceans divided into zones of national and corporate control. Nation-states extended their Exclusive Economic Zones to unprecedented extents, backed by swarms of autonomous patrol drones and satellite surveillance networks. Corporations followed closely behind. Energy conglomerates, shipping megafirms, and biotech consortia purchased or leased ocean territories through bilateral agreements, securing the rights to exploit mineral-rich trenches, kelp forests, and hydrogen extraction nodes. Each new claim carved the seas into smaller, more controlled fragments. The map of the ocean became a mosaic of overlapping sovereignties—states, corporations, and consortiums—all asserting ownership.

This new oceanic order produced a strange hybrid of imperialism and corporate governance. China's Blue Prosperity Zone now stretches to the edges of the Philippines, an extension of its maritime Silk Road strategy. In the North Atlantic, ExxonMaritime, a transnational energy trust, governs a Connecticut-sized tract of ocean under a charter issued jointly by the United States and the European Energy Alliance. Each zone maintains its own maritime laws, tax codes, and security regimes, enforced not by navies in the old sense but by fleets of semi-autonomous defense drones. The ocean has become a chessboard of enforcement algorithms.

Piracy, once a relic of the 20th century, has re-emerged in new forms. The collapse of open sea governance created both opportunity and resistance. The "Blue Anarchists"—a loosely affiliated network of climate refugees, data smugglers, and ideological insurgents—operate from modular flotillas that drift between corporate territories. Their targets are not cargo ships but undersea data cables, energy collectors, and genetic resource platforms. These new pirates call themselves "guardians of the commons," sabotaging oceanic infrastructure in protest against privatization. States call them terrorists; corporations label them "non-state maritime actors." Others simply see them as the inevitable product of enclosure: when the last free spaces disappear, rebellion floats to the surface.

The ocean surface itself has been settled. Floating cities—once speculative projects of utopian architects—have become a defining feature of the 21st-century seascape. Some, like New Singapore Station, are corporate arcologies-- a portmanteau of "architecture" and "ecology," referring to a conceptual, highly dense, self-sufficient urban habitat within a single structure or complex--rising above rare earth deposits in the Pacific. Others, such as Pelagia and Azura, were founded by post-national cooperatives seeking refuge from both climate catastrophe and terrestrial politics. These oceanic cities are tethered to the seabed by flexible pylons and powered by ocean thermal energy conversion systems. They drift slowly along established routes, their positions constantly adjusted to avoid storms and territorial boundaries. Some are luxury enclaves for the global elite; others are overcrowded refugee habitats, built from recycled ships and discarded rigs. The ocean is now as socially stratified as any continent.

Shipping and travel have evolved alongside this new geography. The container ship—a fossil-fueled relic of the 20th century—has vanished, replaced by solar-hydrofoil carriers and biomechanical vessels grown from synthetic coral and kelp composites. Fully autonomous and powered by hydrogen harvested from seawater, these ships glide silently above the waves. Their routes are calculated in real time by quantum navigation systems

to avoid conflict zones and corporate toll barriers. Trade itself has changed: the majority of global commerce now flows as data rather than goods. Undersea data cables, protected by drone sentinels, form the true arteries of the global economy. Still, physical shipping persists for essential materials—biomass, rare minerals, water, and genetic resources. Each shipment is tracked, taxed, and sometimes hijacked in digital space before it even arrives. Cruise ships have evolved into mobile oceanic enclaves—floating microstates catering to the ultra-wealthy and digital nomads. Most operate under corporate sovereignty, registered in neutral maritime zones to avoid taxes and regulation. Powered by hydrogen and solar skin technology, these vessels function as both resorts and mobile data centers. Their routes navigate carefully through privatized waters, paying passage fees to corporate or national authorities. For the privileged, they offer eternal summer and algorithmic security; for critics, they represent the final excess of a divided world—luxury liners drifting through seas of refugees, reminders of mobility purchased in a stationary planet.

Beneath the surface, biotechnology has transformed both industry and ecology. Marine life is now engineered as infrastructure. AquaGen, the biotech giant headquartered on the floating city of Bluehaven, seeded the oceans with photosynthetic algae that capture carbon while producing biofuel. Coral reefs, once devastated, have been resurrected as genetic mosaics—hybrids of living coral, silicon scaffolds, and programmable microbes capable of surviving in acidic waters. Synthetic fish, bred for resilience rather than biodiversity, fill aquaculture pens stretching for miles. Entire ecosystems now function as living factories, filtering plastic waste into polymers, or glowing in bioluminescent signals to indicate toxicity. Biotechnology has made the oceans productive again, but at a cost: wild nature has vanished, replaced by designed ecology. The line between conservation and exploitation has dissolved entirely.

The political economy of the oceans mirrors the inequalities of the land. Surface rights, mid-water zones, and seafloor resources are vertically integrated by the same entities. The sea has become a three-dimensional property regime, with mining drones scraping the abyssal plains while floating markets trade in carbon credits, biogenetic patents, and desalinated water. Oceanic trade is governed by dynamic tariffs, set by AI systems that respond to real-time fluctuations in climate risk and political tension. Blue carbon markets—where kelp forests and engineered plankton are commodified for their carbon absorption capacity—have become the newest form of speculative finance. Meanwhile, environmental oversight is nominal. Corporate courts arbitrate disputes faster than any international body once could, but with predictably one-sided outcomes.

For those without ownership or affiliation, life at sea is precarious. Millions of ocean drifters—stateless people displaced by rising seas or economic collapse—move among the peripheries of corporate zones, living on scavenged rafts and derelict rigs. They provide labor for undersea mining, maintain algae farms, or serve as couriers in the informal data economies that thrive outside official networks. They are tolerated as long as they remain invisible, yet they constitute a growing underclass of the maritime world.

The oceans of 2050 are no longer a shared frontier but a contested, commodified space. The dream of global cooperation—of the sea as a commons—has dissolved under the pressure of climate collapse, technological exploitation, and human ambition. What was once governed by nature's rhythms is now ruled by code: algorithmic borders, automated tariffs, and digital surveillance systems that decide who may cross, who may extract, and who must drift.